# facebook

# Solving the Linux storage scalability bottlenecks

#### Jens Axboe

Software Engineer


#### What are the issues?

- Devices went from "hundreds of IOPS" to "hundreds of thousands of IOPS"
- Increases in core count, and NUMA
- Existing IO stack has a lot of data sharing
  - For applications
  - And between submission and completion
- Existing heuristics and optimizations centered around slower storage


#### Observed problems

- The old stack had severe scaling issues
  - Even negative scaling
  - Wasting lots of CPU cycles
- This also lead to much higher latencies
- · But where are the real scaling bottlenecks hidden?


#### 10 stack



### Seen from the application




### Seen from the application



### Testing the theory

- At this point we may have a suspicion of where the bottleneck might be. Let's run a test and see if it backs up the theory.
- We use null\_blk
  - queue\_mode=1 completion\_nsec=0 irqmode=0
- Fio
  - Each thread does pread(2), 4k, randomly, O\_DIRECT
- Each added thread alternates between the two available NUMA nodes (2 socket system, 32 threads)

#### IOPS SQ vs. Threads




```
Samples: 165K of event 'cycles', Event count (approx.): 110645642788
           Command
                     Shared Object
                                          Symbol 5 |
  Overhead
                      kernel.kallsyms]
    37.10%
           fio
                                              _raw_spin_lock_irq
                      [kernel.kallsyms]
                                          [k] _raw_spin_lock_irqsave
            fio
                                          [k] _raw_spin_lock
            fio
                      [kernel.kallsyms]
                                             clock thread_fn
                     fio
            fio
                      [kernel.kallsyms]
                                             kmem_cache_alloc
            fio
            fio
                      [kernel.kallsyms]
                                             blk_account_io_done
                                             end cmd
            fio
                      kernel.kallsyms]
                                             do_blockdev_direct_IO
            fio
                      [kernel.kallsyms]
                                             blk_peek_request
            fio
                      [kernel.kallsyms]
            fio
                      [kernel.kallsyms]
                                             blk_account_io_start
     0.59%
     0.59%
            fio
                     fio
                                             get io u
                     [kernel.kallsyms]
                                             deadline_dispatch_requests
            fio
     0.55%
                                          [k] bio_get_nr_vecs
           fio
                     [kernel.kallsyms]
     0.52%
     '?' for help on key bindings
```

That looks like a lot of lock contention... Fio reports spending 95% of the time in the kernel, looks like ~75% of that time is spinning on locks.

Looking at call graphs, it's a good mix of queue vs completion, and queue vs queue (and queue-to-block vs queue-to-driver).

```
Samples: 165K of event 'cycles', Event count (approx.): 110529613446
  Overhead Command
                     Shared Object
                                          Symbol 5 | 1
                                         [k] _raw_spin_lock_irq
            fio
                     [kernel.kallsyms]
   - _raw_spin_lock_irq
      + 50.90% null_request fn
      + 48.99% blk_queue_bio
                     [kernel.kallsyms]
                                          [k] _raw_spin_lock_irqsave
            fio
   - _raw_spin_lock_irqsave
     + 96.91% blk_end_bidi_request
     + 2.54% do_blockdev_direct_IO
            fio
                     [kernel.kallsyms]
                                          [k] _raw_spin_lock
    raw spin lock
     + blk_flush_plug_list
Press '?' for help on key bindings
```



#### Problem areas


- We have good scalability until we reach the block layer
  - The shared state is a massive issue
- A bypass mode driver could work around the problem
- We need a real and future proof solution!


#### Enter block multiqueue

- Shares basic name with similar networking functionality, but was built from scratch
- Basic idea is to separate shared state
  - Between applications
  - Between completion and submission
- · Improve scaling on non-mq hardware was a criteria
- Provide a full pool of helper functionality
  - Implement and debug once
- Become THE queuing model, not "the 3<sup>rd</sup> one"


### History

- Started in 2011
- · Original design reworked, finalized around 2012
- Merged in 3.13






- Application touches private per-cpu queue
  - Software queues
  - Submission is now almost fully privatized



- Software queues map M:N to hardware queues
  - There are always as many software queues as CPUs
  - With enough hardware queues, it's a 1:1 mapping
  - Fewer, and we map based on topology of the system




 Hardware queues handle dispatch to hardware and completions


#### Features

- Efficient and fast versions of:
  - Tagging
  - Timeout handling
  - Allocation eliminations
  - Local completions
- Provides intelligent queue 
   ⇔ CPU mappings
  - Can be used for IRQ mappings as well
- Clean API
  - Driver conversions generally remove more code than they add

### blk-mq 10 flow




### Block layer 10 flow




### Completions

- Want completions as local as possible
  - Even without queue shared state, there's still the request
- Particularly for fewer/single hardware queue design, care must be taken to minimize sharing
- · If completion queue can place event, we use that
  - If not, IPI



### Tagging

- Almost all hardware uses tags to identify IO requests
  - Must get a free tag on request issue
  - Must return tag to pool on completion




### Tag support

- Must have features:
  - Efficient at or near tag exhaustion
  - Efficient for shared tag maps
- · Blk-mq implements a novel bitmap tag approach
  - Software queue hinting (sticky)
  - Sparse layout
  - Rolling wakeups

#### Sparse tag maps

\$ cat /sys/block/sda/mq/0/tags nr\_tags=31, reserved\_tags=0, bits\_per\_word=2 nr\_free=31, nr\_reserved=0

- Applications tend to stick to software queues
  - Utilize that concept to make them stick to tag cachelines
  - Cache last tag in software queue




|- Cacheline (generally 64b) -|


#### Rerunning the test case

- We use null\_blk
- Fio
  - Each thread does pread(2), 4k, randomly, O\_DIRECT
- queue\_mode=2 completion\_nsec=0 irqmode=0 submit\_queues=32
- Each added thread alternates between the two available NUMA nodes (2 socket system)

#### IOPS MQ vs. Threads



#### **IOPS MQ and IOPS SQ**



Threads

Samples: 165K of event 'cycles', Event count (approx.): 110645642788  Overhead Command Shared Object Symbol						
+	37.10%		[kernel.kallsyms]		raw spin lock irq	
+	19.58%		[kernel.kallsyms]		_raw_spin_lock_irqsave	
+	17.71%	fio	[kernel.kallsyms]	[k]	_raw_spin_lock	
+	2.13%	fio	fio	[.]	clock_thread_fn	
+	0.98%	fio	[kernel.kallsyms]	[k]	kmem_cache_alloc	
+	0.94%	fio	[kernel.kallsyms]	[k]	blk_account_io_done	
+	0.92%	fio	[kernel.kallsyms]	[k]	end_cmd	
+	0.76%	fio	[kernel.kallsyms]	[k]	do_blockdev_direct_IO	
+	0.70%	fio	[kernel.kallsyms]		blk_peek_request	
+	0.59%	fio	[kernel.kallsyms]	[k]	blk_account_io_start	
+	0.59%		fio		get_io_u	
+	0.55%		[kernel.kallsyms]		deadline_dispatch_requests	
+	0.52%		[kernel.kallsyms]	[k]	bio_get_nr_vecs	
Pr	Press '?' for help on key bindings					

Single queue mode, basically all system time is spent banging on the device queue lock. Fio reports 95% of the time spent in the Kernel. Max completion time is 10x higher than blk-mq mode, 50<sup>th</sup> percentile is 24usec.


In blk-mq mode, locking time is drastically reduced and the profile Is much cleaner. Fio reports 74% of the time spent in the kernel. 50<sup>th</sup> percentile is 3 usec.

```
Samples: 165K of event 'cycles', Event count (approx.): 110637184263
                                        Symbol 
  Overhead Command Shared Object
           fio
                                         [k] do_blockdev_direct_IO
                     [kernel.kallsyms]
                     [kernel.kallsyms]
                                            generic_make_request_checks
                     fio
                                            get_io_u
                     [kernel.kallsyms]
                                            inode_dio_done
                     fio
                                              _fio_gettime
                     [kernel.kallsyms]
                                         [k] blkdev_read_iter
     2.36%
           fio
                     fio
                                            thread_main
           fio
                     [kernel.kallsyms]
                                            _raw_spin_lock_irqsave
     2.01%
                                            __blk_mq_alloc_request
     1.91% fio
                     [kernel.kallsyms]
     1.85% fio
                     fio
                                            io_completed
                     fio
     1.82% fio
                                            clock_thread_fn
                    [kernel.kallsyms]
                                       [k] blk_mq_map_queue
    1.80% fio
    1.72% fio
                    [kernel.kallsyms]
                                        [k] bt_clear_tag
Press '?' for help on key bindings
```

"But Jens, isn't most storage hardware still single queue? What about single queue performance on blk-mq?"

Astute audience member

#### **IOPS MQ and IOPS SQ**




Threads

### Scsi-ma

- SCSI had severe scaling issues
  - Per LUN performance limited to ~150K IOPS
- SCSI queuing layered on top of blk-mq
- Initially by Nic Bellinger (Datera), later continued by Christoph Hellwig
- Merged in 3.17
  - CONFIG\_SCSI\_MQ\_DEFAULT=y
  - scsi\_mod.use\_blk\_mq=1
- · Helped drive some blk-mq features


fio 512 byte random read performance - RAID HBA with 16 SAS SSDs




#### At Facebook

- Backport
- Ran a pilot last year, results were so good it was immediately put in production.
- Running in production at Facebook
  - TAO, cache
- Biggest win was in latency reductions
  - FB workloads not that IOPS intensive
  - But still saw sys % wins too


Latency





0

#### scsi and scsi-mq



0

#### Conversion progress

- As of 4.6-rc4
  - mtip32xx (micron SSD)
  - NVMe
  - virtio blk, xen block driver
  - rbd (ceph block)
  - loop
  - ubi
  - SCSI
- · All over the map (which is good)

#### Future work

- An IO scheduler
- Better helpers for IRQ affinity mappings
- IO accounting
- IO polling
- More conversions
  - Long term goal remains killing off request\_fn

# facebook