Automation of Rolling Upgrade for Hadoop Cluster without Data Loss and Job Failures

May 17, 2017

Hiroshi Yamaguchi & Hiroyuki Adachi



Copyright © 2017 Yahoo Japan Corporation. All Rights Reserved.





Hiroshi Yamaguchi

Hadoop DevOps Engineer



Hiroyuki Adachi

Hadoop Engineer



Largest Portal Site in Japan



Overview of Our Data Platform



Copyright © 2017 Yahoo Japan Corporation. All Rights Reserved.



1. Our Hadoop Cluster

- 2. Issues Involved in Previous Upgrade
- 3. Upgrade Approach
- 4. How to Upgrade
- 5. Results
- 6. Conclusion



Our Hadoop Cluster



Copyright © 2017 Yahoo Japan Corporation. All Rights Reserved.

Overview

- HDP 2.3 + Ambari 2.2
- Almost all core components are configured with HA
 - HA: NameNode, ResourceManager, JournalNode, Zookeeper
 - Non HA: Application Timeline
 Server
- Secured with Kerberos
- 800 slave nodes
 - DataNode/NodeManager
- Other components
 - HiveServer2, Oozie, HttpFS





Data Volume



Increase in data volume

- Total stored data 37 PB
- Increases about
 50 ~ 60 TB/day



Work Load



YARN work load of the day

- Multi-tenant cluster
 - ETL / E-Commerce / Advertising / Search ...
 - 20K ~ 30K jobs/day
- Average resource utilization is over 80%
- Data processing needs are growing



Issues Involved

in

Previous Upgrade



Copyright © 2017 Yahoo Japan Corporation. All Rights Reserved.

Previous Upgrade



- Performed in Q4 2015
- Without using Ambari
- Manual Express Upgrade including above mentioned steps



Previous Upgrade



- Upgrade
 - Restarting all components for updates to come into effect
- Check
 - Component's normality checks
 - Wait till missing blocks to be fixed





Issue 1:12 Hours of Cluster Down

- Why took so long?
 - Large number of slave nodes
 - Multiple nodes failed to start after upgrade
 - Job failed due to data loss
 - Must recover missing blocks



Issue 2 : Finding Right Schedule

- Challenging to find a right schedule
 - Cluster is multi-tenant, shared by hundreds of services
 - Picking a day with minimal impact
 e.g. A day without weekly or monthly running jobs



Photo by: Aflo



Issue 3 : Coordinating Resource Allocation

- Post-Upgrade : Restarting all jobs simultaneously caused resource exhaustion
- Jobs were recovered by precedence



Issues to be Addressed

- Storage
 - Should not affect HDFS Read/Write
 - Should prevent missing blocks
- Processing
 - To keep Components (Hive, Oozie ...) working

Impact-less upgrade operation



Upgrade Approach



Copyright © 2017 Yahoo Japan Corporation. All Rights Reserved.

Possible Upgrading Methods

- 1. Ambari Provided Express Upgrade
- 2. Ambari Provided Rolling Upgrade
- 3. Manual Express Upgrade
- 4. Manual Rolling Upgrade



Possible Upgrading Methods

- 1. Ambari Provided Express Upgrade
- 2. Ambari Provided Rolling Upgrade
- 3. Manual Express Upgrade
- 4. Manual Rolling Upgrade

1'st and 2'nd are not suitable for our environment 3'rd has several issues as explained earlier



Approaches for Upgrading



- Impact-less Rolling Upgrade
 - Grouping components e.g. NameNode
 - Upgrading & Checking each component group



Approaches for Upgrading





Approaches for Upgrading







- Impact-less rolling upgrade is possible
- Automating upgrade process reduces operation cost



How to Upgrade



Copyright © 2017 Yahoo Japan Corporation. All Rights Reserved.

Target Cluster

- HDP 2.3.x + Ambari 2.2.0
- Master nodes
 - HA: NameNode, ResourceManager, JournalNode, Zookeeper
 - Non-HA: Application Timeline Server
- Slave nodes
 - 800 DataNode/NodeManager
- Others
 - HiveServer2, Oozie, HttpFS



Apache Ambari





Ambari Provided HDP Upgrade Methods

- Express Upgrade
 - Brings down entire cluster
- Rolling Upgrade
 - Cannot control
 - Load balanced HiveServer2 restart
 - Collective DataNode restart

But we can't adopt either of these methods



Extending Ambari's Operations

- Safety restart and our environment specific operations
 - Ambari Custom Service
 - Additional operations such as NN failover
 - API wrapper scripts
 - Additional confirmation of service normality
 - Precise control



For Non-stop Upgrade



Upgrade Flow Control



Copyright © 2017 Yahoo Japan Corporation. All Rights Reserved.

Ansible

- Configuration management tool
- Why we chose?
 - Easy to learn
 - Agent less, push based system
 - Can control upgrading workflow



Overview of Upgrading with Ansible



ansible-playbook -i hosts/target_cluster play_books/upgrade_master.yml



- Configuration management
- Controlling upgrade sequence



Upgrading Flow



https://docs.hortonworks.com/HDPDocuments/Ambari-2.1.1.0/bk_upgrading_Ambari/content/_manual_minor_upgrade.html



Upgrading Each Component





Preventing Job Failures



Ambari Custom Service

- Ambari can implement custom service
- Operational commands
 - NameNode F/O
 - Load balancer pool add/remove
- Can operate as existing
 Ambari services





Ambari Custom Service

- Add service definition xml and python scripts
- No need of manually executing commands on a server
- Prevents operation miss

<customCommand> <name>Failover</name> <commandScript> <script>scripts/gridops_client.py</script> <scriptType>PYTHON</scriptType> <timeout>600</timeout> </commandScript> </customCommand> def failover(self, env): Tries to failover from active NameNode to standby NameNode . . . self. kinit superuser(env) ha_state = {'nn1': None, 'nn2': None} ns = Script.get_config()['configurations']['gridops-config _, ha_state['nn1'], stderr = shell.checked_call('hdfs haad _, ha_state['nn2'], stderr = shell.checked_call('hdfs haad service_id = self._get_service_id(env) if service_id not in ha_state: if ha_state and ha_states failover_from_to = 'nn1 nn2' elif ha_state['nn1'] == 'standby' and ha_state['nn2'] == ' failover_from_to = 'nn2 nn1' else: raise Fail('Faild to get HA state of NameNodes') Execute('hdfs haadmin -ns %s -failover %s' % (ns, failover



Ambari CLI

- In-house script for cluster admins
- A wrapper script using API's of Ambari, NameNode, ResourceManager etc.
- Provides safe operations
 - Pre and post restart check for each component
 - Preventing data loss



























Preventing Data Loss



Safety Restart for DataNode



Upgrade, restart and wait for Missing Blocks to be O



Safety Restart for DataNode



Upgrade, restart and wait for Missing Blocks to be O



Replication Vs Erasure Coding

Replication



Erasure Coding (EC)





Safety Restart for DataNode (EC)



Missing Blocks: 0 Under Replicated Blocks: 0 Corrupt Blocks: 0

One DataNode at a time

Upgrade, restart and wait for Missing Blocks to be O



Test Jobs for Each Component

- HDFS Read/Write
- MapReduce
- Hive, Hive on Tez
- Pig, Spark
- HttpFS, Oozie

hdfs dfs -put /tmp/test

yarn jar hadoop-mapreduce-examples.jar pi 5 10

hive -e "select x from default.dual group by x"

curl --negotiate -u : "https://.../webhdfs/v1/?op=liststatus"



Results



Copyright © 2017 Yahoo Japan Corporation. All Rights Reserved.

Results of Non-stop Upgrade

- Hadoop 2.3.x to 2.3.y upgrade
- 7 minutes of downtime
- 0.3% of job failure
- O% data loss



Results of Non-stop Upgrade

Component	Non-stop	Description	
HDFS			
HttpFS			
MapReduce			
Hive			
Pig			
Spark	\bigtriangleup	Disconnected from Hive Metastore, few jobs failed	
HiveServer2	\bigtriangleup	Checksum error occurred for some jobs	
Oozie	Δ	Non-HA component	

successful upgrade without any job failures Δ Upgrade was affected up to some extent



Problems During the Upgrade

- NameNode restart
- DataNode restart
- Spark and Hive job failure



NameNode Restart



- NN metadata was huge and it took long to free memory
- As a workaround, stop NN \rightarrow wait \rightarrow start NN



DataNode Restart

- Restart failed due to existence of old pid file
- 2 DataNode Processes
 - Parent: /var/run/hdfs/hadoop-hdfs-datanode.pid
 - Child: /var/run/hdfs/hadoop_secure_dn.pid

Usually gets deleted automatically, but

Starting regular datanode initialization Still running according to PID file /var/run/hdfs/hadoop_secure_dn.pid



Spark (v1.5) Job Failure





Copyright © 2017 Yahoo Japan Corporation. All Rights Reserved.

Spark (v1.5) Job Failure





Hive Job Failure





Conclusion



Copyright © 2017 Yahoo Japan Corporation. All Rights Reserved.

Non-stop Upgrade

- Hadoop 2.3.x to 2.3.y upgrade
- 7 minutes of downtime
- 0.3% of job failure
- 0% data loss
- No need of separate resource scheduling



Comparison of Upgrade Results

	Previous	Proposed (without automation)	Proposed (with automation)	
Cluster Downtime	12h	Omin	7min	User impact
Maintenance Time	12h	72h	72h	
Man-hour	108h	648h	21h	Operating cost



Future Work

- Improving non-stop upgrade by bringing down
 - Cluster downtime to 0
 - Job failures to 0
- To be able to perform major upgrades (upgrades involving NameNode metadata changes)
- Automating of preparation stage and handle failures with recovery operations
- Contributing to OSS

