

#### mentor embedded

# LXCBENCH

Understanding the capabilities of Linux Containers in IVI applications through benchmarking

Gianpaolo Macario Mentor Embedded – Linux Services GENIVI – EG-SI Architect

> Automotive Linux Summit Fall Edinburgh, UK – October 2013

Android is a trademark of Google Inc. Use of this trademark is subject to Google Permissions. Linux is the registered trademark of Linus Torvalds in the U.S. and other countries.



#### Agenda

- The problem statement
- LXC: The technology
- How LXC may address some IVI use cases
- The GENIVI LXCBENCH Incubation Project
- Summary



### Who am I?



Gianpaolo Macario Architect, Mentor Graphics – ESD – Linux Services System Architect, GENIVI Alliance

PastSystem Architect, Magneti Marelli – Infotainment & TelematicsExperiences:IT Manager, COMAU – Robotics Business UnitSoftware Consultant, GlobalValue (a Fiat/IBM Italy JV)

Linux and Open Source user and developer since 1993 (linux-0.99pl13) Very proud of my first contribution to Linux Kernel early in 1995 (linux-1.3.13)

My social life:<a href="http://it.linkedin.com/in/gmacario/">http://it.linkedin.com/in/gmacario/</a><a href="https://twitter.com/gpmacario">https://twitter.com/gpmacario</a>

. . .



#### **Embedded Industry Trends**

#### Hardware Consolidation

- SoC
- Subsystems
- Systems

#### Benefits

- Reducing BOM and power consumption
- Increasing performance and capacity of the system

#### Concerns

- Complexity of the system design, development, debugging





#### **Example 1: Consolidation GENIVI/AUTOSAR**

- Most IVI systems have traditionally split the system architecture on separate CPUs/MCUs:
  - Infotainment domain: GENIVI Linux software stack, graphical resources, etc
  - Automotive domain: RTOS and AUTOSAR stack for access to vehicle network, ECU lifecyle, etc
- New SoC are coming to market which integrate CPU cores (i.e. Cortex A9/A15, M3/M4) and on-board peripherals optimized for the two domains
- How can the software architecture be deployed on such complex SoCs?



#### Example 2: Linux Main Head Unit + Android RSE

- In this scenario the functions of two units are being consolidated:

  - Rear-Seat Entertainment (Internet browsing, downloadable apps, games) for rear passengers → Android
- To realize such configuration the resources provided of one complex SoC (i.e. CPU cores, video ports, USB ports, etc) have to be allocated to the two domains to make sure the RSE functions are isolated and will not impact the performances of the main HU



#### Approach to IVI domains consolidation

- Establish a reduced set of interfaces between the domains
- Provide control over resource utilization
- Ease deployment and integration of function domains on the same ECU



## What are Linux Containers?

Linux Containers represent one of several techniques to realize system consolidation by providing a lightweight virtual system mechanism for Linux able to implement

- Resource management
- Process management
- File System Isolation

Each container provides a reduced view of the same kernel that has created the container itself. As a result, multiple containers may run on the same hardware and can share resources through the single Linux kernel that created them.

Linux Containers rely on a few features available in the Linux kernel since 2.6.x:

- cgroups
- namespaces

Additionally, the LXC user-space tools provide an abstraction to allow programs to: create containers, start/stop containers, etc.



# What are NOT Linux Containers?

Linux Containers follow an opposite approach if compared to many other virtualization tools (most notably, hypervisors). In fact, rather than starting from an emulated hardware (completely isolated) and then trying to reduce overhead and improve performances, LXC use already efficient mechanisms and build up isolation.

Think about LXC as "chroot on steroids"

Containers can be used as an alternative to OS-level virtualization to run multiple isolated systems on a single host, and can provide different degrees of isolation.

For what security is concerned, Linux Containers leverage existing security mechanisms available in Linux:

- LXC rely on Discretionary Access Control (provided by the Linux kernel)
- Can leverage Mandatory Access Control (such as SE Linux and smack) if available



#### Hypervisors and Linux Containers: comparing features

Feature	Type-1 Hypervisor	Linux Containers	Notes
Guest VM	Each guest runs inside a dedicated (virtual) hardware – and therefore is not limited to a Linux-based system	All guests share the same Linux kernel of the host – so only Linux-based OS are supported	Consolidation of mixed OS (i.e. AUTOSAR+Linux) cannot be realized with LXC
Guest Kernel	Each guest kernel is loaded into its own memory region	Only one Linux kernel image is loaded into physical memory	
Adaptations needed to guest OS kernel	Guest OS kernel needs to be made HV- aware	No – The Linux kernel device drivers validated on the Bare Metal Hardware are enough	Effort to make each guest OS HV- aware depends on how actual devices are allocated to guest OS
SoC dependencies	To minimize performance overhead over Bare Metal some Hypervisors take advantage of specific HV-oriented features available in most recent SoCs	No – kernel support for LXC is independent and neutral to hardware architecture/SoC	
Communication between guests	Through virtual (i.e. Ethernet or Serial Adapters) hardware devices realized by the Hypervisor	All standard Linux IPC mechanisms (sockets, pipes, signals message queues, etc) may be used	Communication between guests is achieved through fewer SW layers on LXC
Sharing libraries/filesystems between guests, or between guest and host	Not possible	Through LXC config options guests may transparently mount subdirectories of the host	This extra flexibility of LXC allows optimization of system storage/RAM usage but adds constraints when updating guests
Security mechanisms	Built into each HV, details vary between implementations	Although LXC adds no security to Linux, other technologies such as Mandatory Access Control may be leveraged	A thorough system security analysis should drive design choices here
Software License	Depends on HV vendor	Kernel Features: GPLv2 Userspace: LGPL-2.1	



## **Embedded Domain Separation Options**





#### **Sample LXC Configuration**

Each container is customized by means of a LXC configuration file which specifies the resources that will made available to the guest OS running inside the container. Example:

```
# Set cgroups CPU affinity to allow exclusive usage of one core by guest OS
lxc.cgroup.cpuset.cpus = 0,1
cpuset.cpu_exclusive = 1
```

# Configure the machine name as seen by guest OS lxc.utsname = mycontainer1

# Define path of Root Filesystem and mount points for the guest OS
lxc.rootfs = /full/path/to/rootfs.mycontainer1
lxc.mount = /full/path/to/fstab.mycontainer1

# Deny all access to devices from the guest OS, except /dev/null and /dev/zero
lxc.cgroup.devices.deny = a
lxc.cgroup.devices.allow = c 1:3 rw
lxc.cgroup.devices.allow = c 1:5 rw

NOTE: many more options are available to control guest OS behaviour (see man lxc.conf)



#### **LXCBENCH Project Goals**



Among the different virtualization technologies, Linux Containers (LXC) are particularly appealing for implementing multi-hosting embedded systems by providing a userspace container object that supports full resource isolation and resource control for an application or a system.

The purpose of the LXCBENCH incubation project is to gain a better understanding of the capabilities of Linux Containers through benchmarking.

Read more ...

LXCBENCH was the first project proposed by a non-GENIVI Member Organization (Politecnico di Torino) which has been accepted and launched as an Open Source Project by the GENIVI Alliance:

http://projects.genivi.org/lxcbench/



# **LXCBENCH Benchmarking Approach**

The benchmarking activity aims to measure the performance of an LXCequipped system versus a reference system not equipped with virtualization.

For this purpose we selected the Phoronix Test Suite (<u>http://www.phoronix-test-</u> <u>suite.com</u>), an Open Source automated testing framework.

The following measurement to be executed on a given hardware platform:

Out-of-the-box Linux system (e.g., Ubuntu or similar).

Run PTS to collect a set of measurements to be used as the baseline.

Out-of-the-box Linux system enriched with 1 container, running Linux (e.g., Ubuntu itself, or Android).

Run PTS in the baseline system only, run PTS in the container, run PTS in both concurrently.

After collecting the performance measurements in the above scenario, overheads are evaluated by comparing the performance score of the baseline system with those of the container-enriched system.



# The LXCBENCH project is not...

The goal of the LXCBENCH project is NOT to measure raw performance of a given hardware platform, rather to understand the overhead coming from the adoption of virtualization on different hardware configurations.

For this purpose, we intend to repeat the same measurements on different hardware platforms, equipped with both single-core and multi-core (e.g., iMX5, iMX6), and to quantify the overheads before and after virtualization.



# **LXCBENCH Project Timeline**

**Completed Milestones:** 

2012-11-12: LXCBENCH Project proposal submitted to GENIVI
2013-02-13: LXCBENCH launched as GENIVI Incubation Project
2013-02-25: First version of benchmarking report (from OSES 2013 students) released
2013-03-11: Yocto layer meta-lxcbench created
2013-05-17: Phoronix Test Suite recipes integrated into meta-lxcbench
2013-06-30: Added support for more embedded reference boards
2013-09-30: LXCBENCH featured in "Industry and Research Perspectives on Embedded System Design"
2013-10-25: LXCBENCH presented at ALS Fall 2013



# **LXCBENCH Preliminary Results (1/3)**

Test Bench

Motherboard: OMAP4 Pandaboard Processor: ARMv7 rev 2 @ 1.01GHz (2 Cores) Memory: 1024MB Disk: 8GB SU08G OS: Debian 6.0.7 Kernel: 3.8.0 (armv7l) Compiler: GCC 4.4.5 Root Filesystem: ext4 Screen Resolution: 1280x720



# **LXCBENCH Preliminary Results (2/3)**

Performance	PTS Test	Host (while Guest is idle)	Guest (while Host is idle)	Host concurrently with Guest	Guest concurrently with Host
Stream [MB/s]	Сору	1.00	1.00	0.99	0.95
	Scale	1.00	1.00	0.99	0.84
	Triad	1.00	1.00	0.99	0.77
	Add	1.00	1.00	0.99	0.83
Cachebench [MB/s]	Read	1.00	1.00	0.99	0.99
	Write	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
	Read/ Write/ Modify	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
LAME MP3 [s]	Encoding	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00

Note: relative performance numbers normalized to column "Host (while Guest is idle)"



## **LXCBENCH Preliminary Results (3/3)**

Containers are very effective in showing low overhead (host and guest are indeed running on real hardware).

When the same benchmark is running on both the host and the guest concurrently, a negligible performance hit is observed (it is expected, as both are looking for the same memory resource).

Some strange figures ask for further investigations (e.g., the 30% performance hit on Stream/Triad running on the guest).



#### **Basic considerations**

Benchmarking is a complex subject:

- Requires an accurate description of the intended use case
- Requires dependable configuration of the test harness

Since there are so many factors which will affect the overall performance, we want to instrument and benchmark the basic mechanisms first.

Rather than the focusing on the actual benchmark results – which will depend on the chosen configuration, we chose LXCBENCH to deliver tools and mechanisms that may be reused in different specific product configurations.



#### **LXCBENCH Reusable artifacts**

In order to:

- 1) Realize a dependable software configuration
- 2) Allow configuration according to different use cases
- 3) Support complete build from sources
- 4) Reduce complexity and interference from other factors (not related to the actual PTS benchmark being run)

As part of the LXCBENCH project we have been developing a simplified, stripped down embedded Linux distribution

- based on the Yocto<sup>™</sup> project
- supporting a few embedded HW targets that have been made available to Politecnico di Torino.



#### **The meta-Ixcbench Yocto Layer**

The **meta-lxcbench** subdirectory of the LXCBENCH git repository contains a Yocto 1.3 layer with the recipes and changes needed to build a reproducible embedded Linux distribution able to run the LXCBENCH tests on several target reference boards.

The meta-lxcbench layer is developed and tested against Mentor Embedded Linux (<u>http://www.mentor.com/embedded-software/linux/</u>) however it should also work with other Yocto 1.3 based distributions.

Contributions are welcome!





#### meta-Ixcbench: HW Targets

Currently supported (MACHINE in local.conf):				
imx53qsb	Freescale i.MX53 Quick Start Board			
pandaboard	TI OMAP (PandaBoard)			
qemuarm	QEMU for ARM (Virtual Hardware)			
qemux86	QEMU for x86 (Virtual Hardware)			

Support for other physical HW targets is under internal development but not yet ready for public release:

- Freescale i.MX6 Sabre Auto
- Renesas R-Car H1 (Marzen)
- Wandboard



#### **LXCBENCH: Build distro from sources**

# Prerequisite: Sourcery CodeBench and Mentor Embedded Linux 6.0 installed # (other Yocto-1.3 compatible environments may work as well)

cd ~/mel6-lxcbench

```
# Check out the meta-lxcbench layer
git clone git://git.projects.genivi.org/lxcbench.git
```

# Choose the target hardware (example: Freescale i.MX53 QSB)
source meta-mentor/setup-environment -bbuild-imx53qsb imx53qsb

```
# Customize conf/bblayers.conf
cat <<END >>conf/bblayers.conf
BBLAYERS += "/scratch/gmacario/mel6-lxcbench/lxcbench/meta-lxcbench"
END
```

```
# Customize conf/local.conf
cat <<END >>conf/local.conf
EXTERNAL_TOOLCHAIN ?= "<Sourcery CodeBench ARM GNU/Linux installation directory>"
END
```

# Build it! bitbake core-image-lxcbench



### **LXCBENCH distro: Build Results**

gmacario@mv-linux-powerhorse:/scratch/gmacario/mel6-lxcbench/build-imx53gsb\$ ls -la tmp/deploy/images/ total 91872 drwxrwxr-x 2 gmacario gmacario 4096 Mar 10 15:24 . drwxrwxr-x 6 gmacario gmacario 4096 Mar 10 15:07 ... 3620 Mar 10 15:09 core-image-lxcbench-imx53qsb-20130310135537.license\_manifest -rw-r--r-- 1 gmacario gmacario 1590 Mar 10 15:09 core-image-lxcbench-imx53gsb-20130310135537.license manifest.csv -rw-r--r-- 1 gmacario gmacario -rw-r--r-- 1 gmacario gmacario 61735936 Mar 10 15:09 core-image-lxcbench-imx53gsb-20130310135537.rootfs.ext3 -rw-r--r-- 1 gmacario gmacario 3400000000 Mar 10 15:09 core-image-lxcbench-imx53gsb-20130310135537.rootfs.sdcard -rw-r--r-- 1 gmacario gmacario 4921147 Mar 10 15:09 core-image-lxcbench-imx53gsb-20130310135537.rootfs.tar.bz2 lrwxrwxrwx 1 gmacario gmacario 55 Mar 10 15:09 core-image-lxcbench-imx53qsb.ext3 -> core-image-lxcbench-imx53qsb-20130310135537.rootfs.ext3 60 Mar 10 15:09 core-image-lxcbench-imx53qsb.license\_manifest -> core-image-lxcbenchlrwxrwxrwx 1 gmacario gmacario imx53gsb-20130310135537.license manifest 64 Mar 10 15:09 core-image-lxcbench-imx53qsb.license\_manifest.csv -> core-imagelrwxrwxrwx 1 gmacario gmacario lxcbench-imx53qsb-20130310135537.license\_manifest.csv 57 Mar 10 15:09 core-image-lxcbench-imx53qsb.sdcard -> core-image-lxcbench-imx53qsblrwxrwxrwx 1 gmacario gmacario 20130310135537.rootfs.sdcard 58 Mar 10 15:09 core-image-lxcbench-imx53gsb.tar.bz2 -> core-image-lxcbench-imx53gsblrwxrwxrwx 1 gmacario gmacario 20130310135537.rootfs.tar.bz2 357723 Mar 8 23:33 modules-2.6.35.3-11.09.01+yocto+q012a4b8-r33.16-imx53qsb.tqz -rw-rw-r-- 1 gmacario gmacario -rw-rw-r-- 1 gmacario gmacario 294 Mar 10 15:08 README\_-\_DO\_NOT\_DELETE\_FILES\_IN\_THIS\_DIRECTORY.txt lrwxrwxrwx 1 gmacario gmacario 31 Mar 8 23:24 u-boot.imx -> u-boot-imx53qsb-v2012.07-r1.imx lrwxrwxrwx 1 gmacario gmacario 31 Mar 8 23:24 u-boot-imx53qsb.imx -> u-boot-imx53qsb-v2012.07-r1.imx -rwxr-xr-x 1 gmacario gmacario 285788 Mar 8 23:24 u-boot-imx53qsb-v2012.07-r1.imx lrwxrwxrwx 1 gmacario gmacario 50 Mar 8 23:33 uImage -> uImage-2.6.35.3-r33.16-imx53qsb-20130308222009.bin -rw-r--r-- 1 gmacario gmacario 3295976 Mar 8 23:33 uImage-2.6.35.3-r33.16-imx53gsb-20130308222009.bin lrwxrwxrwx 1 gmacario gmacario 50 Mar 8 23:33 uImage-imx53qsb.bin -> uImage-2.6.35.3-r33.16-imx53qsb-20130308222009.bin

gmacario@mv-linux-powerhorse:/scratch/gmacario/mel6-lxcbench/build-imx53qsb\$



## **LXCBENCH distro: Deploy on Target HW**

# Example (for MACHINE="imx53qsb")

# Plug a uSDHC into the host, type "dmesg" to identify the block device # that has been created (in our example: /dev/sdX) dmesg

SDCARD=/dev/sdX sudo umount \${SDCARD}? cat tmp/deploy/images/core-image-lxcbench-imx53qsb.sdcard | sudo dd of=\${SDCARD}

# That's it! Then boot the target, login as root and type on the serial console lxcbench-test01.sh

# This script will configure and run the PTS suite.

# After a few hours results will be available under ~/.phoronix-test-suite/test-results



# **LXCBENCH Project Roadmap: short term**

To improve the accuracy of the measurements we collect during benchmarking, using specific tools

- e.g.: Mentor Embedded System Analyzer along with Phoronix Test Suite benchmarks

#### To enrich the set of benchmarks we are using

 e.g.: we are looking at specific tests for measuring GPU performance, and test specifically devised for Android

## To extend the analysis by measuring performance overhead on different hardware architectures:

- i.MX53 done, i.MX6 in progress, Renesas R-Car in progress
- Zynq (Xilinx Dual-Core A9) planned hw already available at PoliTO
- Intel Atom (desired but no hw available so far)

#### and different OS combinations:

- e.g.: Linux+Linux, Linux+Android, commercial Linux+Linux, commercial Linux+Android

#### and different kernel configuration

- e.g., using different kernel scheduling policies

# By comparing performance overhead vs. Bare Metal with those of other technologies (mainly hypervisors)



# **LXCBENCH Project Roadmap: medium**

#### To measure robustness (i.e., security) of containers

 we are considering using known root kits and other attacks to "jailbreak" from one container to another

To compare container robustness with other technologies (again here we are looking at hypervisors)

Do you have further suggestions, ideas or want to contribute? Let's get in touch!





# **Questions?**

Android is a trademark of Google Inc. Use of this trademark is subject to Google Permissions. Linux is the registered trademark of Linus Torvalds in the U.S. and other countries.

# **For further information**

#### About the GENIVI LXCBENCH Project

LXCBENCH Project Homepage: <a href="http://projects.genivi.org/lxcbench">http://projects.genivi.org/lxcbench</a>LXCBENCH Git Repository:<a href="http://git.projects.genivi.org/?p=lxcbench.git">http://git.projects.genivi.org/?p=lxcbench.git</a>Subscribe to Mailing List:<a href="mailto:genivi-lxcbench@lists.genivi.org">genivi-lxcbench@lists.genivi.org</a>GENIVI project stats at Ohloh:<a href="https://www.ohloh.net/orgs/genivi">https://www.ohloh.net/orgs/genivi</a>

#### **Related Mentor Embedded Webinars**

Implementing Android Based Automotive Infotainment systems: http://go.mentor.com/2x922

Using Linux in Automotive Systems with Linux Containers:

http://www.mentor.com/embedded-software/events/using-android-inautomotive-systems-with-linux-containers





Android is a trademark of Google Inc. Use of this trademark is subject to Google Permissions. Linux is the registered trademark of Linus Torvalds in the U.S. and other countries.

# End