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Remote location
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Protocol basic

• HTTP/1.1 request

• Responses:
  • Normal
  • Chunked
  • Upgrade (to websocket for example)
  • Proxy AJP/HTTP
Request HTTP/1.1

POST /comet/CometServletTest1 HTTP/1.1

User-Agent: testclient

Host: localhost

Transfer-Encoding: chunked

11/18/14
Chunked:

HTTP/1.1 200 OK

Server: Apache-Coyote/1.1

Set-Cookie: JSESSIONID=obcoR30qlz7DMjfZmsVTt+Uv; Path=/comet

Transfer-Encoding: chunked

Date: Mon, 07 Nov 2011 22:09:33 GMT

Upgrade:

HTTP/1.1 101 Switching Protocols

Upgrade: websocket

Connection: Upgrade

Sec-WebSocket-Accept: HSmrc0sMIYUKAGm5OPpG2HaGWk=

Sec-WebSocket-Protocol: chat
What is a Connector?

- Tomcat's interface to the world
- Binds to a port
- Understands a protocol
- Dispatches requests
Tomcat Connectors

- Java Blocking I/O (BIO or sometimes JIO)
- Java Non-blocking I/O (NIO)
- Native / Apache Portable Runtime (APR)
- Java NIO.2

Technically, there are combinations of all of the above with HTTP and AJP protocols.

We'll discuss those a bit later.
Types of I/O

- Polling
  - Straightforward API: peek()
  - CPU-inefficient
  - Thread loops while waiting for data

- Blocking
  - Straightforward API: read()
  - CPU-efficient (blocks)
  - Thread stalls while waiting for data
Types of I/O

- Non-blocking
  - Complicated API (registration, event callbacks)
    - Channel
    - Buffer
    - Selector
  - CPU-efficient
- Thread not required to: execution continues
- When data is ready, the selector notifies observers
Common Connector Features

- Support for all protocols
  - HTTP, AJP, Websocket
- Support for all dispatch methods
- Standard, Comet, Servlet 3.0 async
  - Support for HTTPS (SSL/TLS)
- Acceptor thread(s) call accept() and hand-off
- Request processor thread pool
Blocking I/O Connector (1)

- All I/O operations are blocking in processor thread
  - SSL handshake
  - Read request line (e.g. GET, POST, etc.)
  - Read request body
  - Write response
  - Read next request (HTTP keep-alive)
- Simple, stable, mature
• Single thread handles request straight-through, after accept

• Uses Java Secure Sockets Extension (JSSE) for SSL/TLS
Blocking I/O Connector (3)

- Request throughput limited by thread count
- Clients can waste threads
  - Slow request line (mobile)
  - Aborted keep-alive stalls thread (default=20sec!)
- Unfair: accepted connections get priority for keep-alive requests
NON-Blocking I/O Connector (1)

- Single thread handles request after request-line
- Poller thread(s) manage non-blocking Selector
  - Read SSL handshake
  - Read request line
  - Wait for next keep-alive request
NON-Blocking I/O Connector (2)

- Block poller simulates blocking
  - Request header/body reads
  - Response writes
  - Processor thread sleeps during sim-blocking
- Uses JSSE for SSL/TLS
- Supports sendFile
NON-Blocking I/O Connector

(3)

- Allows huge number of parallel requests
  - Not limited by request-processor threads
- Slow clients do not stall threads
- Aborted keep-alives die in the poller queue
- Simulated blocking adds overhead
Native Connector (APR) (1)

- Single thread handles request after accept()
- Poller thread(s) handle certain I/O reads
  - Wait for next keep-alive request
- Some I/O operations block processor thread
  - SSL handshake
  - Read request line
  - Read request body
  - Write response
Native Connector (APR) (2)

- Uses OpenSSL for SSL/TLS
- Supports sendFile
Native Connector (APR) (3)

- Request throughput limited by thread count
- Slow clients can stall threads
- Aborted keep-alives die in the poller queue
- OpenSSL offers performance advantage
- Native code risks JVM instability
“Non-blocking” I/O Connector NIO.2 (1)

- Single thread handles request after request-line
- The thread are handled via an AsynchronousChannelGroup and completion call backs
  - Read SSL handshake
  - Read request line and headers
  - Wait for next keep-alive request
“Non-blocking” I/O Connector NIO.2 (2)

- NIO2 implementation takes care of blocking using Future objects waiting for IO
  - Request body reads
  - Response writes
  - Processor thread sleeps during blocking
- Uses JSSE for SSL/TLS
- It emulates sendFile (NIO1 transferTo doesn't work with NIO2)
“Non-blocking” I/O
Connector NIO.2 (3)

- Allows huge number of parallel requests
  - Not limited by request-processor threads
- Slow clients do not stall threads
- High level of abstraction and blocking over async adds overhead
- NIO 2 provides blocking capabilities over its async IO. The tomcat code is simpler (good) but an overhead still exists.
Technical constraints

- Don’t try bother using non-blocking protocols with blocking connectors (BIO+Websocket = bad)
- AJP can be thought of as 100% keep-alive
- AJP doesn’t support HTTP upgrade
- Use of sendFile is highly recommended for any static-content (APR or NIO.1)
Connector Performance

- Compare connector throughput against each other
- Only static content was compared, varying file sizes
- Run on fast machines, 10 Gbps local network

Tests:
- Compare the connectors (tc8.0.14) with httpd (2.2.22) no SSL.
- Same with SSL
- What about using a proxy: compare proxies.
Connector CPU Use (c4)
Connector Throughput (c40)
Connector CPU Use (c40)
Connector CPU Use (c80)
Connector Performance

- Intermediate conclusion:
  - Using sendfile helps a little. (but just emulated in NIO2!)
  - So using JIO/BIO is probably an “old” idea.
SSL Connector CPU Use (c4)
SSL Connector Throughput (c40)
Connector Performance

- Intermediate conclusion:
  - OpenSSL performs better than JSSE
  - JIO/BIO and NIO(2) give similar results.
HTTPD CPU Use (c4)
SSL Proxy CPU Use (c4)
Proxy CPU Use (c40)
Conclusion:

- If you need SSL better use a proxy
  - Basically any “httpd proxy” will do the work.
  - Use mod_jk if you need a Swiss knife configuration.
  - Use http otherwise
- WebSocket
  - Use httpd-2.4.x for mod_proxy_wstunnel.
Questions?
Thank you!

- jfclere@gmail.com
- users@tomcat.apache.org
- Repo with the scripts for the tests:
  - https://github.com/jfclere/AC2014scripts
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