

# How to combine Debian and Yocto/Bitbake?

 $\mathbf{O}$ 

Manuel Traut <<u>manuel.traut@linutronix.de</u>> ELCE 2017 - Prague

# What's next?

- 1. Why Yocto?
- 2. About Debian
- 3. Benefit of a combination
- 4. Existing solutions
- 5. Perfect combination



# 1) Why Yocto?

- ★ What is Yocto★ Typical usage
- $\star$  Limitations



## What is Yocto?

- Tooling for building your own Linux distribution and SDK
- Defines a format that eases sharing of compile recipes and patches
- Powerful configuration management for different but similar images
- Based on Open-Embedded
- Example distribution "Poky" available



# **Typical usage**

- Use Poky example distribution
- Add meta-layers from chip and/or hardware vendor
- Add 3rd party layers, e.g. for QT5
- Add own layer with image customization and own applications

# Limitations

- Recipes from different layers might be incompatible
- Packages need to be built before they can be used
- Quality of recipes is hard to verify
- Security tracking/updates need to be done
- No LTS/updates available
- Reproducibility is not completely given (host dependencies)

# 2) About Debian

- ★ The universal OS
- $\star$  Debian and embedded?
- ★ Usage
- $\star$  Limitations
- ★ E.L.B.E.

# The universal OS

- Debian provides more than a pure OS, it comes with over 51 000 packages
- The infrastructure, documentation and build-tools are open-source
- Debian takes security very seriously
- Many security advisories are coordinated with other free software vendors and are

published the same day a vulnerability is made public



# **Debian and embedded?**

### Packages are available for

amd64 arm64 armel armhf i386 mips mips64el mipsel powerpc (not in stretch) ppc64el s390x

#### Also **cross-toolchains** for different architectures are available in Debian/stretch

### Obtain as CIP primary reference distribution

- What does the primary distribution means?
  - CIP will select CIP Core package from Debian packages
  - CIP would like to work with Debian community

- CIP members also interested in Yocto Project as a build tool
  - CIP might create meta-cip layer
    - Users can get SLTS benefit from CIP Core packages
    - Other OE-layers could be extend CIP Core (Will not SLTS by CIP)





## Usage

- Debootstrap embedded RFS (e.g. for arm) into a directory
- Use pbuilder or a cross-compiler to build own applications and copy to RFS-dir
- Remove unneeded files (man-pages, i18n, ...) from RFS-dir
- Build FS (ext4, etc) or disk / UBI images using some tools and scripting
- Extract licence information and retrieve source-code of all used packages



# **Limitations using Debian**

- Only limited number of HW architectures supported
- No HW specific binary packages like special gstreamer plugins are available
- SDCard / UBI / etc. image generation
- SDK generation and licence information and source package extraction
- Reduce image footprint
- Own application integration







# Limitations using Debian & E.L.B.E.

- Only limited number of HW architectures supported
- No HW specific binary packages like special gstreamer plugins are available
- SDCard / UBI / etc. image generation
- **SDK generation and licence information and source package extraction**
- Reduce image footprint
- Own application integration



# 3) Benefit of a combination





# Yocto + Debian = ?

### Use from Yocto

- Task scheduling
- Configuration management
- (cross-) compile from source if necessary
- SDK generation

### Use from Debian

- Well maintained packages
- Security tracking
- Binary packages if available and useful
- Source packages if necessary

# 4) Existing solutions

- ★ meta-debian
- ★ Isar
- ★ nneta-elbe
- $\star$  Comparison table

## meta-debian

- ~600 .bb recipes, using sources from Debian/jessie
- Build rules optimized for embedded and retrieved from 'debian/rules'
- Long-term Linux kernel from CIP (Civil Infrastructure Platform)
- Supports SDK generation
- Very active ~2000 commits on github
- Not compatible with existing Debian binary packages

### lsar

- Uses Debian binary packages from stretch, jessie, wheezy or raspbian-jessie
- Optional: building Debian source packages in a chroot (with qemu-user)
- Needs 'sudo' with nopasswd for several tasks
- Default image size ~300MB
- ~100 commits on github

# nneta-elbe

- Proof of concept E.L.B.E. frontend (nneta-elbe 9 / elbe ~2000 commits on github)
- Uses Debian stretch binary pkgs (tested with armhf)
- Optional: build binary pkgs from source within elbe-pbuilder
- Source and binary pkgs built with pbuilder available in a signed Debian repository
- Bitbake generates elbe-xml and schedules elbe-pbuilder and elbe-image-build jobs
- Builds licence information
- SDK generation currently not implemented, but easy because available in E.L.B.E.



# nneta-debian architecture

### nneta-elbe

base.bbclass elbeproject.bbclass source.xml.mako image.bbclass pbuilder.bbclass

./conf/distro/jessie.conf
./conf/distro/stretch.conf
./conf/machine/mymachine.conf

#### nneta-elbe-ext

libgpio\_git.bb

SRC\_URI = "git://github.com/linutronix/libgpio"
SRCREV = "\${AUTOREV}"

S = "\${WORKDIR}/git"

inherit pbuilder

hello\_git.bb

simple-image.bbappend

	meta-debian	Isar	nneta-elbe
Yocto-style config management & app integration			
HW-specific SW like kernel / bootloader buildable			
Use Debian sources			
Default footprint / reducible?	10MB	300MB / with Yocto methods	300MB / not yet
Non-Debian archs buildable			
use an arch not supported by Debian			
Export used source code	download dir		easy to develop
Yocto-style SDK with cross-toolchain generation available			easy to develop
Generate licence information	CSV		XML & plain-text
Reproducibility	pkg v. by git tags	no VM / shared chroot for all builds	VM/ pbuilder
Bitbake file per Debian package needed	+ a git repo	not for bin-pkg	not for bin-pkg
Use Debian binary packages			
# of available Debian packages	limited / ~600 dsc	all	all
Effort needed to adapt buildsystem to new Debian release	very high		
Generate signed Debian repos of self built packages	unsigned deb	unsign, deb (dsc/sign easy to add)	dsc + deb



# 5) Perfect combination

- $\star$  My personal wishlist
- ★ Conclusion
- $\star$  Your ideas



# My personal wishlist

• Collaborate with 'rebootstrap.sh'

to bootstrap Debian with settings from Bitbakes machine config

- Use Debian multiarch for cross-compiling any (modified) src pkg for a self bootstrapped architecture
- Mix usage of cross-built Debian pkgs via Bitbake

with official Debian binary pkgs (for official supported architectures)

• Having reproducible builds for all Debian packages

# Conclusion

- 3 implementations but only 2 use-cases
  - meta-debian is good for architectures that are NOT available in Debian
  - Isar and nneta-elbe can only be used if the architecture is available in Debian
  - nneta-elbe is a proof-of-concept but it's already very powerful thanks to the E.L.B.E backend
- Porting Debian bootstraping to Bitbake might be interesting for Debian and

Yocto



# Your ideas

To improve the usage of Debian in embedded Linux projects?



# References

nneta-elbe / E.LB.E.

http://elbe-rfs.org

http://github.com/linutronix/nneta-elbe

http://github.com/linutronix/nneta-elbe-extended

http://elinux.org/images/e/e5/Using\_ELBE\_to\_Buil d\_Debian\_Based\_Embedded\_Systems.pdf

Debian

https://wiki.debian.org/HelmutGrohne/rebootstrap

https://wiki.debian.org/ReproducibleBuilds

#### Isar

http://github.com/ilbers/Isar

https://events.linuxfoundation.org/sites/events/files/ slides/isar-elce-2016.pdf

meta-debian

http://github.com/meta-debian

https://elinux.org/images/2/2e/MiniDebianConfJap an-Yoshi.pdf

### Contact

#### Manuel Traut

<manuel.traut@linutronix.de>

#### Linutronix GmbH

https://linutronix.de

Bahnhofstraße 3 88690 Uhldingen Germany